

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,817	03/31/2004	Patrick J. Gibbons	P00870-US-00 (11049.0013)	5036
31835	7590 12/01/	06	EXAMINER	
RUSSELL ICE MILLE	E. FOWLER, II	COLLINS, DOLORES R		
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE, BOX 82001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0002			
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. <u></u>		NT			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/813,817	GIBBONS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dolores R. Collins	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EDI VIQ SET TO EYDIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory porcesses are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11 September 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-13 and 30-35</u> is/are pending i	n the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-13 &amp; 30-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· -				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		anticotion No.			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>	·				
application from the International Bu	•	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , ,	received.			
	·				
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date			
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges response by applicant's representative received 9/11/06. Examiner further acknowledges the addition of claims 30-35.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 & 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bernstein et al. (410).

Bernstein discloses Trading Cards For An Investment Game And Method Thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

#### Regarding claim 1

Bernstein teaches a plurality of game cards (trading cards) (see abstract) with a face and a reverse side (11) & (12), a type of customer information (a company called Metacortex, stock information) and customer loyalty information (stock performance).

## Regarding claim 11

Bernstein teaches a variety of customer information on the reverse side (see fig. 1).

#### Regarding claim 12

Bernstein teaches a variety of customer information on the reverse side (see fig. 1), including the number of employees worldwide (size) and product (software industry).

Art Unit: 3711

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-10, 13 & 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein et al. (410).

Bernstein discloses Trading Cards For An Investment Game And Method Thereof.

Regarding claims 2-10 & 13

Bernstein teaches a plurality of game cards (trading cards) (see abstract) with a face and a reverse side (11) & (12), a type of customer information (a company called Metacortex, stock information) and customer loyalty information (stock performance). Bernstein teaches a variety of indicia (numbers, percentages, letters etc.), which could be indicators and/or symbols defined as anything desired and having any intended use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign the symbols and indicators of Bernstein to any group of indicators or symbols as desired. Such would be intended use and presents little or no patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

### Regarding claims 30-35

Bernstein fails to disclose the specific arrangement and/or content of indicia (printed matter) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to layout indicia anywhere since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of indicia based layout does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate, which is required for patentability.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claims 1 & 3-13.

Applicant has amended claim 1, however, the amendment fails to overcome the prior art of reference to Bernstein which teaches a plurality of game cards (trading cards) (see abstract) with a face and a reverse side (11) & (12), a type of customer information (a company called Metacortex, stock information) and a customer loyalty information (stock performance).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Eugene Kim* can be reached on *(571) 272-4463*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *571-273-8300*.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817 Page 7

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

11/27/06

**EUGENE KIM** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Sand